Proof of a Conspiracy – Globalists Speak Openly about their Deadly Agenda

by Jeremy James

Ye that love the LORD, hate evil *Proverbs 97:10*

Many dismiss those who believe in a global conspiracy as cranks and fools. And yet evidence that such a conspiracy exists is fairly easy to establish.

One definition of *conspiracy* reads as follows: 'A secret agreement between two or more people to perform an unlawful act.'

That is okay as far as it goes, but it does not even begin to capture the breadth and scope of the Globalist agenda. Once you define it correctly, it starts to look less like a conspiracy and more like a business plan – which is exactly what it is:

A secret agreement by a number of super-rich elite families to increase their wealth and power on the world stage by covert means, with a view ultimately to impose a unified world government under their control, and to employ a range of subversive techniques and programs in diverse fields – political, financial, economic, military, industrial, medical, educational, religious, and media-related, among others – to achieve this objective.

These super-rich families and those who support them are known by various names, including the Power Elite, the Ruling Elite, the cabal of International Bankers, the Illuminati, the Globalists, and the Black Nobility. One can even think of them as the Trillionaires Club.

It is in essence an agreement among like-minded people who are seeking to establish a global monopoly on the commodity they value more than any other – Power.

Given human nature and its dismal record of murder and corruption, it would be truly astonishing if a group of this kind did not exist!

The question we should be asking

So, instead of asking 'Does a global conspiracy exist?' we should really be asking, 'What are these power-obsessed, ultra-wealthy people actually planning to do and what are the likely consequences for the rest of humanity?'

The following published quotations by members of the Global Elite should go a long way toward dispelling any doubts you may have about their existence. They should also shed considerable light on their mindset, their methodology, their contempt for humanity, and the remarkably sophisticated nature of their plan to destroy the sovereignty of independent nations and create a New World Order.

They are so arrogant and so confident of success that, from time to time, some of their more outspoken members divulge just a little too much information about their intentions.

The United States is the principal target

If you are living in the United States you need to be especially concerned about the Global Elite since the last major obstacle to a One World Government is the US itself. As a sovereign independent nation, and the foremost player in world affairs, with a long tradition of liberty and democracy, it cannot be allowed to continue in its existing form. The Globalists have long planned to weaken it fatally – a process which is now at a very advanced stage – and destroy its sovereignty. A totalitarian regime of some kind is scheduled to take over, possibly on foot of an engineered national crisis, and abolish the Constitution. The America that has existed since 1776 will then disappear forever.

Please consider the evidence for yourself. More than forty percent of your industrial base has been 'off-shored', with most of it given lock-stock-and-barrel to a Marxist-Leninist regime known as China. Using a host of deceitful practices in the banking system, successive governments have left the country saddled with such staggering levels of debt – at household, corporate, state and federal level, not to mention massive unfunded liabilities such as social security, Medicaid and Medicare – that there is not the slightest chance that even a fraction of it can be paid down from future taxation without destroying the economy. In fact it is so great, and growing at such an alarming rate, that your great nation will very shortly be unable even to *service* its debt (i.e. keep up its interest payments to foreign and domestic lenders). Industrial employment across the US as a whole has been radically reduced and most of the jobs that remain are in the low-paying, insecure services sector. The erosion of your civil liberties since 9/11 has been so severe that any law-abiding American can now be picked up and incarcerated indefinitely by his government on vaguely-worded security-related charges – against which he or she has absolutely no defense.

'It can't happen here!' you say. Really? The following quotations prove otherwise. Not only has it been happening but the pace of decay is now such that a major economic collapse in the next 2-3 years is virtually inevitable.

Please take this warning seriously and do what you can to protect yourself and your family.

If, after you have had a chance to study it, you find this paper has convinced you, then please share it with your friends and those you care about.

[All quotations have been checked against other sources to ensure they were not taken out of context or slanted in any way that might distort the author's intentions. The reader should be able to locate and verify many of them on the Internet.]

A major admission by the Globalists in 2009

We should start with an astonishing admission by the Globalists in 2009. It can actually be found on the official website of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), which is one of the main institutions that secretly run the United States:

"Thank you for that wonderful tribute to Henry Kissinger yesterday. Congratulations. As the most recent National Security Advisor of the United States, I take my daily orders from Dr. Kissinger, filtered down through General Brent Scowcroft and Sandy Berger, who is also here. We have a chain of command in the National Security Council that exists today."

Remarks by the US National Security Adviser, James L Jones at the 45th Munich Conference on Security Policy, Hotel Bayerischer, February 8, 2009.

This is probably the most public and most brazen confirmation to date by the Globalist Elite that they control the US government. James Jones has been appointed through the democratic process approved by Congress, but here he is stating categorically that he takes his orders daily from Henry Kissinger via two other unelected individuals, Brent Scowcroft and Sandy Berger. Kissinger is a well known globalist mouthpiece for David Rockefeller. Many regard him as the author of several brutal wars and genocidal campaigns. He has been given no mandate whatever by the American people, and yet, as Jones confirms, he has direct control over US national security.

This admission by the CFR should be a matter of great concern to all Americans.

German Chancellor explains how a Global Elite controls everything

Let's turn now to Helmut Schmidt who was German Chancellor (Prime Minister) for 8 years (1974-1982). In his memoirs, *Men and Powers* (Random House, 1987), he wrote that American foreign policy is decided by two groups:

The Establishment and the Council on Foreign Relations

"One was a large number of outstanding career diplomats and career officials in high positions, who provided continuity; the other was a large reservoir of discerning private persons, who were committed to foreign affairs and who had already served earlier administrations. This reservoir, earlier frequently called "the establishment," had both its forum and its center in the Council on Foreign Relations in New York. Its members were lawyers, bankers, some industrialists, and academics. The council published (and still publishes) the excellent periodical *Foreign Affairs*...

"The Council on Foreign Relations successfully drew carefully chosen young people into its discussions and prepared them at first for modest tasks; in the course of their careers they often took on top-level missions in the State Department, the Pentagon, the White House, or other centers of international policy – from trade agreements to disarmament...The foreign policy elite, which had very silent but effective ways of seeing to its own succession, was thus largely a matter of the East Coast." [pages 221-222]

He then went on to describe two other organisations which sceptics often dismiss as paper tigers invented by conspiracy theorists:

Bilderberg Group

"...I gratefully recall the yearly so-called Bilderberg conferences that Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands organized and ran, and the yearly meetings of the London Institute for Strategic Studies under the leadership of Alistair Buchan. Some of the United States senators active in foreign affairs...always participated in these two-to-three day international conferences. Conversations with Dean Acheson, George Kennan, and Paul Nitze, which might occur during such meetings, were a treasure trove of information and enlightenment...We were not surprised whenever, a few years later, one or another of the men we had had such good conversations with reappeared as a cabinet member or deputy secretary or head of department; in such cases we could safely assume that the man's views were the same ones he had espoused in our earlier talks." [pages 222-223]

Bohemian Grove

"In July 1979, during one of these visits to California, George Schultz invited me to be his guest in Bohemian Grove during the traditional yearly summer encampment. This weekend gave me one of the most astounding experiences I ever had in the United States. Later I went to Bohemian Grove a second time, and my impressions intensified...Even more interesting is the camaraderie among the men (women are barred) who meet in Bohemian Grove, which got its name from the exclusive Bohemian Club in San Francisco, where there is a long waiting list for membership. The encampment in the grove is not a large common camp; the two thousand or so men who spend the weekend together live in five or six dozen small camps, almost entirely concealed by trees and bushes, scattered along the hillside...

"This weekend allowed me an illustrative glimpse of America's elite. The politicians who were present were, for the most part, guests of one or another of the club members...These meetings bring together not only the west, south, and east of the United States, but also the various political groups within American society." [pages 225-227]

His description of activities at the Bohemian Grove has a distinctly sexual undertone. What else could have given him "one of the most astounding experiences I ever had in the United States"?

He then confirms the existence of an unelected power elite who 'advise' (i.e. control) the elected politicians:

Unelected Politicians

"...a class of professional intellectual politicians who never run for office but offer their services to the elected politicians and the candidates – at times even force them on them – as expert advisers and executive officers. They are supported by various institutions, where they work whenever they are not in government service." [page 227]

Schmidt proceeds to name some of these institutions – the Brookings Institution, the American Enterprise Institute, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, the Georgetown Center for Strategy and International Studies, the Hoover Institute, the Heritage Foundation, and the Rand Corporation.

In the course of his review of American power brokers [pages 221-227], Schmidt names several of these unelected "professional intellectual politicians," including some who are still in power today, nearly forty years later – David Rockefeller, Henry Kissinger, and Zbigniew Brzezinski.

The Incredible Unseen Influence of David Rockefeller

James Jones may answer to Henry Kissinger, but Kissinger in turn answers to David Rockefeller. In the following published quotations, Rockefeller makes no attempt to hide his globalist agenda, his Marxist beliefs, or his evident willingness to destroy the sovereignty of the United States:

"For more than a century, ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents...to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it."

David Rockefeller, Memoirs, Random House, 2002

"Now, as the United Nations approaches its 50th anniversary, business support for the numerous internationally related problems in which it is involved has never been more urgently needed...With the dissolution of the Soviet Union, the opportunity for enlightened American leadership is, perhaps, even greater than it was in 1939, at the beginning of the Second World War, or in 1945 when the Cold War began. But this present 'window of opportunity,' during which a truly peaceful and interdependent World Order might be built, will not be open for long... "We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order."

David Rockefeller, Address to the 28th Annual United Nations Ambassador Dinner, September 14, 1994, as quoted in the Business Council for the United Nations Briefing, Vol. 8, Issue 2, Winter 1995, p.1

"One is impressed immediately by the sense of national harmony...there is [a] very real and pervasive dedication to Chairman Mao and Maoist principles. Whatever the price of the Chinese Revolution, it has obviously succeeded not only in producing more efficient and dedicated administration, but also in fostering high morale and community of purpose...The enormous social advances of China have benefited greatly from the singleness of ideology and purpose...The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history."

David Rockefeller, from an article in the New York Times, August 10th, 1973, entitled, *From a China Traveler* (at which time Rockefeller was chairman of the board of Chase Manhattan Bank)

"We are grateful to *The Washington Post*, *The New York Times*, *Time Magazine* and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries."

David Rockefeller, in an address to a meeting of the Bilderberg Group, Baden Baden, Germany, June 5, 1991.

Note how far-reaching his ambitions are – the imposition of world government, the replacement of "auto-determination" (democracy) by an elite group of intellectuals and world bankers, and the use of a "right major crisis" to force the nations of the world into submission. He even admits to being part of a secret cabal to bring this about.

Another leading member of this secret cabal is Zbigniew Brzezinski, who served as US National Security Adviser to President Carter and as special adviser on foreign policy to Barack Obama during his Presidential election campaign. Like Rockefeller, he too believes in the totalitarian doctrines of Marxism and in the need to eliminate democracy if full "imperial mobilization" and "military intimidation" – the path to world government – are to be unleashed:

"This is why Marxism represents a further vital and creative stage in the maturing of man's universal vision. Marxism is simultaneously a victory of the external, active man over the inner, passive man and a victory of reason over belief...Marxism, disseminated on the popular level in the form of communism, represented a major advance in man's ability to conceptualize his relationship to his world."

Zbigbiew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, Viking Press, 1970, pps 34 and 37

"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic selfdenial (that is, defense spending) and the human sacrifice (casualties, even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization."

Zbigbiew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, Basic Books, 1998, p.35

If you are tempted to think the Globalist aims of this "secret cabal" are not actually being implemented on the world stage by their political puppets, then please consider the boast by Madeleine Albright at a major public function in 1997, when she was serving as US Secretary of State:

"Today, there is no Stalin to give orders. If a nation is isolated from the international community now, it is either because the country is simply too weak to meet international standards, or because its leaders have chosen willfully to disregard those standards. Last week in the Netherlands, President Clinton said that no democratic nation in Europe would be left out of the transatlantic community. Today I say that no nation in the world need be left out of the global system we are constructing."

Madeleine Albright, U.S. Secretary of State, Harvard University Commencement Address, Massachusetts, June 5, 1997

Some courageous attempts to sound a warning

A few elected representatives have tried to warn of the dangers posed by the Globalists, but with little success. Unless the mainstream media highlight their words, they pass largely unnoticed by the vast majority of people. For example, the United Nations and the Trilateral Commission were set up specifically to promote the goal of Globalism and dilute the sovereignty of independent nations, yet warnings by people of the stature of Senator Barry Goldwater – who was the Republican Presidential nominee in 1964 – received very little popular attention:

"The time has come to recognize the United Nations for the anti-American, anti-freedom organization that it has become. The time has come for us to cut off all financial help, withdraw as a member, and ask the United Nations to find headquarters location outside the United States that is more in keeping with the philosophy of the majority of voting members, someplace like Moscow or Peking."

Senator Barry Goldwater, the Congressional Record, 1971

"The Trilateral Commission is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power political, monetary, intellectual and ecclesiastical. What the Trilateral Commission intends is to create a worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nationstates involved. As managers and creators of the system, they will rule the future."

Senator Barry Goldwater in his 1979 book, With No Apologies

From time to time a politician does emerge whose words of warning reach the mainstream media or who manages in some manner to cause problems for the Globalists. When this happens, they often meet with an untimely end. For example, even allowing for their above-average number of air miles, an unusual number of Senators, Congressmen and State Governors have died in airplane accidents (By my reckoning, more than 30 in the period 1950-2000, compared to 1 in 20,000 for the population as a whole). A notable member of this unfortunate group was Congressman Larry McDonald, a very vocal critic of the Globalists and their disturbing agenda:

"And to my knowledge, not one has dared reveal the most vital part of the Rockefeller story: that the Rockefellers and their allies have, for at least fifty years, been carefully following a plan to use their economic power to gain political control of first America, and then the rest of the world.

"Do I mean conspiracy? Yes, I do.

"I am convinced there is such a plot, international in scope, generations old in planning, and incredibly evil in intent. You will find the truthoften surprising, sometimes unpleasant, always vital-in the pages that follow. Gary Allen has done a masterful job of combining the hundreds of scattered facts and hidden clues of the Rockefeller puzzle until one unmistakable pattern emerges. The picture that is revealed when The Rockefeller File is finally opened may shock you. In this book, you will learn why the Rockefellers follow the policies they do, what their goals are, where they intend to take America...and why it is essential they be stopped."

Congressman Lawrence P McDonald, from his Introduction to *The Rockefeller File* by Gary Allen, 1976

Congressman McDonald was killed when the Soviet Union inexplicably shot down the large passenger plane in which he was travelling on September 1, 1983.

"There is a real question in my mind that the Soviets may have actually murdered 269 passengers and crew on the Korean Air Lines Flight 007 in order to kill Larry McDonald." – Jerry Falwell, *The Washington Post*, September 2, 1983.

Georgetown Professor reveals too much

The Globalists made a remarkable, if accidental, disclosure of their longterm program in 1966 with the publication of a major academic work by an historian at Georgetown University, Professor Carroll Quigley. In his massive volume, over 1200 pages in length, Professor Quigley revealed for the first time the way leading figures in the British and American Establishment have conspired together for decades to create a global empire, of greater power and scope than any in history. Here are some telling excerpts:

"There does exist and has existed for a generation, an international Anglophile network which operates to some extent in the way the Radical Right believes the Communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to co-operating with the Communists, or any other groups, and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960s, to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known."

Professor Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, Macmillan and Co, New York, 1966

...the powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank...sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.

Professor Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, Macmillan and Co, New York, 1966

He also cites a famous remark by Walter Rathenau, a former Foreign Minister of Germany:

"...a relatively small number of bankers were in positions of immense influence in European and American economic life. As early as 1909, Walter Rathenau, who was in a position to know (since he had inherited from his father control of the German General Electric Company and held scores of directorships himself), said, "Three hundred men, all of whom know one another, direct the economic destiny of Europe and choose their successors from among themselves.""

Professor Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, Macmillan and Co, New York, 1966

Quigley, who taught the future president Bill Clinton during his student days, was fairly candid about the forces that shaped the past but was careful not to reveal too much information about the continued existence and covert operations of the same secret cabal. However, further insights were given by a number of former insiders, people who had worked closely with the Globalists initially but who came to realize how sinister their agenda really was.

Insiders who rebelled against the New World Order

One of these rare individuals was Admiral Chester Ward who served as Judge Advocate General for the US Navy from 1956 to 1960 and who was a member of the Council on Foreign Relations for about 16 years:

"Once the ruling members of the CFR have decided that the U.S. Government should adopt a particular policy, the very substantial research facilities of CFR are put to work to develop arguments, intellectual and emotional, to support the new policy, and to confound and discredit, intellectually and politically, any opposition."

Admiral Chester Ward, *Kissinger on the Couch*, Arlington House, 1974, p. 151

"[The CFR goal is] submergence of U.S. sovereignty and national independence into an all-powerful one world government...[the] lust to surrender the sovereignty and independence of the United States is pervasive throughout most of the membership...In the entire CFR lexicon, there is no term of revulsion carrying a meaning so deep as 'America First'"

Admiral Chester Ward, *Kissinger on the Couch*, Arlington House, 1974, pps. 144-150

Ward knew what he was talking about. The power of the CFR is well illustrated by the extraordinary number of top government positions that have been filled by its members – 7 Presidents, 7 Vice Presidents, 17 Secretaries of State, 19 Secretaries of Defense, 17 Secretaries of the Treasury, and 14 Directors of the CIA (See **Appendix A** below).

The former US Ambassador to Cuba, Earle T Smith, published a letter in the New York Times in 1979 which completely overturned the official account of the Communist revolution in that country in 1959 and the subsequent innocuous attempts to reclaim the island:

"Castro could not have seized power in Cuba without the aid of the United States. American Government agencies and the United States press played a major role in bringing Castro to power. As the US Ambassador to Cuba during the Castro-Communist revolution of 1957-59, I had first-hand knowledge of the facts which brought about the rise of Fidel Castro. The State Department consistently intervened – positively, negatively, and by innuendo – to bring about the downfall of President Fulgencio Batista, thereby making it possible for Fidel Castro to take over the Government of Cuba. On January 1, 1959, the government of Cuba fell. The United States continued to aid the Castro regime by maintaining the long-standing subsidy for Cuban sugar exports"

Earle T Smith, former US Ambassador to Cuba, from a letter published in the New York Times, September 26, 1979, p. A-24 (Quoted in *The Unseen Hand* by Ralph Epperson, 1994)

This account, which carries great credibility, is fully consistent with the extraordinarily tame efforts made thereafter to 'get rid' of Castro (How could a super-power fail to remove a small gang of rebels at its door-step?). It also casts doubt over the legitimacy of the so-called Cuban missile crisis.

Another credible insider was Dr Johannes Koeppl, former official adviser to a NATO Secretary General:

"The interests behind the Bush Administration, such as the Council on Foreign Relations, The Trilateral Commission – founded by Brzezinski for David Rockefeller – and the Bilderberger Group, have prepared for and are now moving to implement open world dictatorship within the next five years. They are not fighting against terrorists. They are fighting against citizens."

Dr Johannes B. Koeppl, former German Defence Ministry official and adviser to former NATO Secretary General, Manfred Werner, in an interview, November 6, 2001.

Koeppl was seconded from the German defense ministry to NATO in the late 1970s and met with Brzezinski in the White House on more than one occasion. His other Washington contacts included Steve Larabee from the CFR, John J. McCloy, former CIA Director, economist Milton Friedman, and officials from Carter's Office of Management and Budget. He also made a presentation at a Bilderberger conference and numerous presentations to subgroups of the Trilateral Commission. He later had serious misgivings about the Globalist agenda and actually went public to express his concerns. His warning that a world dictatorship could be in place by 2006 reflects the advanced state of the Globalist program as seen by someone with firsthand experience of its progress and methodology.

Another highly placed insider, Fletcher Prouty, gave a damning account of the Globalists in his detailed critique, *The Secret Team*, which was published in 1972. A former Colonel in the US Air Force, he served as a liaison officer between the CIA and the Pentagon between 1955 and 1963.

He confirmed the continued existence of

"...an international High Cabal...especially in these times of the One World Order. This all-powerful group has remained superior because it had learned the value of anonymity. For them, the Secret Team and its professionals operate...The Secret Team being described herein consists of security cleared individuals in and out of government who receive secret intelligence data gathered by the CIA and the National Security Agency (NSA) and who react to those data, when it seems appropriate to them, with paramilitary plans and activities, e.g. training and "advising" - a not exactly impenetrable euphemism for such things as leading into battle and actual combat...The power of the Team derives from its vast intragovernmental undercover infrastructure and its direct relationship with great private industries, mutual funds and investment houses, universities, and the news media, including foreign and domestic publishing houses. The Secret Team has very close affiliations with elements of power in more than three-score foreign countries and is able when it chooses to topple governments, to create governments, and to influence governments almost anywhere in the world."

Colonel Fletcher Prouty, *The Secret Team*, 1972

One of the most interesting former insiders was Dr Bella Dodd, a member of the National Committee of the Communist Party of America (CPUSA) in the 1930s and 1940s. A dedicated communist, she gradually came to see that Communism was "in reality a device to control the 'common man'" (from p.228 of her book, *School of Darkness* (1954)).

Ralph Epperson wrote:

"One Communist Party member, Dr Bella Dodd, a member of the National Committee of the Communist Party of the United States, apparently decided that there was indeed a connection between wealthy "capitalists" and the Party. She noticed that every time the National Committee couldn't reach a decision, one of their members would leave, go to the Waldorf Towers in New York City, and meet with a particular individual, later identified as Arthur Goldsmith. Dr. Dodd observed that every time Mr. Goldsmith made a decision, it was later confirmed by the Communist Party in Moscow. But what truly amazed Dr Dodd was that Mr Goldsmith was not only a member of the Communist Party, but an extremely wealthy American 'capitalist."" (*The Unseen Hand*, 1994)

Why the Globalists are prepared to kill millions of people

It should be obvious by now that the Globalists have an exceptionally ambitious plan, namely to impose a centralised form of government on the planet as a whole. This is fully consistent with the world political situation prophesied in the Book of Revelation, which envisages the formation of ten regional authorities across the globe and the subsequent emergence of one all-powerful world ruler.

The Globalists brought about two World Wars to advance their agenda. The First broke up the old empires of Europe and the Middle East, while the Second laid the foundation for the European Union, NATO, the United Nations, and the consolidation of two vast Communist regimes – the USSR and China. For purposes of our analysis, the key point to note is that, together, these two devastating wars resulted in the cruel and violent deaths of over 75 million people.

Both were extended for as long as possible for maximum effect, with the international financiers – the Globalists – providing funding and weaponry to both sides. All the indications are that they intend to initiate another World War sometime in the next few years in order to lift their Global agenda to the next level.

Human life means nothing to people who believe they are superior to the rest of humanity. Over the past two centuries they have developed a 'scientific' philosophy which in their eyes justifies the elimination of large swathes of humanity, the inferior stock who, from an evolutionary standpoint, deserve to be eliminated.

Most of this philosophy was developed in Britain and exported to the US and Germany. It continued to spread and expand until today it permeates the Globalist mindset. They are convinced that, left to its own devices, the world's population would grow to the point where the very survival of humanity would be under threat. Inferior human stock is breeding exponentially and must be restrained. The only way to do this, and prevent the destruction of the world's limited resources, is through mass murder and sterilization.

If this seems utterly improbable, think again. Some of the most respected intellectuals in British society in the last century were vocal proponents of this view. Consider, for example, the following remark by H G Wells:

"And for the rest, those swarms of black, and brown, and dirty-white, and yellow people, who do not come into the new needs of efficiency? Well, the world is a world, not a charitable institution, and I take it they will have to go. The whole tenor and meaning of the world, as I see it, is that they have to go. So far as they fail to develop sane, vigorous, and distinctive personalities for the great world of the future, it is their portion to die out and disappear."

H G Wells, Anticipations of the Reaction of Mechanical and Scientific Progress Upon Human Life and Thought, 1902 (p.317)

Note that this opinion was expressed in one of Wells' non-fiction works. The racism behind it is truly astonishing – no high profile public figure today would dare express such an opinion. But the Globalists were more open about their intentions a hundred years ago. Today they are much more careful about how they present their philosophy.

Now and then, however, one of their members reveals just a little too much. One of these was Sir Julian Huxley, the first Director-General of UNESCO:

"At the moment, it is probable that the indirect effect of civilisation is dysgenic instead of eugenic; and in any case it seems likely that the dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability, and disease-proneness, which already exist in the human species, will prove too great a burden for real progress to be achieved. Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable..." [p.21]

"Still another and quite different type of borderline subject is that of eugenics. It has been on the borderline between the scientific and the unscientific, constantly in danger of becoming a pseudoscience based on preconceived political ideas or on assumptions of racial or class superiority and inferiority. It is, however, essential that eugenics should be brought entirely within the borders of science, for as already indicated, in the not very remote future the problem of improving the average quality of human beings is likely to become urgent; and this can only be accomplished by applying the findings of a truly scientific eugenics." [pps.37-38]

Sir Julian Huxley, UNESCO: Its Purpose and Its Philosophy (1948)

Here we have a Globalist organization – UNESCO – promoting eugenics as a scientific solution to "the dead weight of genetic stupidity." Note also that he is not talking about improving living standards among humanity but of "improving the average quality of human beings." In other words, he wants to use eugenics as an "urgent" means of improving the quality of human stock.

What is more, they intend to execute this programme of eugenic cleansing with astonishing indifference to the suffering of their victims. Here is how Bertrand Russell, long revered by the British intellectual elite, expressed this callous Globalist attitude:

I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full. There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's.

Bertrand Russell, The Impact of Science on Society, 1953, pps 103-104

Obviously men like Wells, Huxley and Russell must be guided in their thinking, at least to some degree, by a conceptual model of genetic purity and human excellence. In case you have not already guessed it, this 'model' of human genetic perfection is the upper-class Englishman. This perception permeated the British Establishment in the 19th century and spread to their Anglo-Saxon and Teutonic counterparts in the US and other western European countries. It was underpinned 'scientifically' by the work of Charles Darwin who consolidated the longstanding British conviction of their racial superiority in two classic works, *The Origin of Species* (1859) and *The Descent of Man* (1871). Neither work is remotely scientific by today's standards, but they had the merit of attacking Christianity, promoting atheism and, most important of all, justifying British imperial ambitions.

Few today read the actual texts, preferring instead to rely on modern re-statements of Darwinian ideas. But it is well worth one's while to go back to the original and see firsthand the depth of racism that informed them. The following give just a flavour of the racism underpinning *The Descent of Man*:

"At some future period, not very distant as measured by centuries, the civilized races of man will almost certainly exterminate and replace the savage races throughout the world. At the same time the anthropomorphous apes...will no doubt be exterminated. The break between man and his nearest allies will then be wider, for it will intervene between man in a more civilised state, as we may hope, even than the Caucasian, and some ape as low as a baboon, instead of as now between the negro or Australian and the gorilla."

Charles Darwin, *The Descent of Man and Selection in Relation to Sex*, London, 1874 (p.178 of second edition; first edition published 1871)

Like most leading British intellectuals of his day, Darwin believed that the white European would eventually "exterminate" the "savage races" of the world. The extermination of the negro would mean that man's nearest relative would then be the lowly baboon. If you have any doubts about the radical nature of the racism at work here, and its genocidal potential, just consider the following:

"If we look back to an extremely remote epoch, before man had arrived at the dignity of manhood, he would have been guided more by instinct and less by reason than are the lowest savages at the present time." [p.52]

"With some savages, however, the foot has not altogether lost its prehensile power, as shown by their manner of climbing trees and of using them in other ways." [p.58]

"Judging from the habits of savages and of the greater number of the Quadrumana [apes, monkeys, etc], primeval men, and even their ape-like progenitors, probably lived in society." [p.70]

"With savages, the weak in body or mind are soon eliminated; and those that survive commonly exhibit a vigorous state of health. We civilized men, on the other hand, do our utmost to check the process of elimination; we build asylums for the imbecile, the maimed, and the sick; we institute poor-laws; and our medical men exert their utmost skill to save the life of every one to the last moment. There is reason to believe that vaccination has preserved thousands, who from a weak constitution would formerly have succumbed to small-pox. Thus the weak members of civilized societies propagate their kind. No one who has attended to the breeding of domestic animals will doubt that this must be highly injurious to the race of man. It is surprising how soon a want of care, or care wrongly directed, leads to the degeneration of a domestic race; but excepting in the case of man himself, hardly any one is so ignorant as to allow his worst animals to breed." [p.151-152]

"The western nations of Europe, who now so immeasurably surpass their former savage progenitors and stand at the summit of civilization..." [p.160]

The sentiments expressed here by Darwin could hardly be plainer. Allowing these "savage" races to multiply is "highly injurious to the race of man." Only an irresponsible livestock manager would allow the "worst animals to breed." The implication is clear – the "immeasurably" superior Anglo-Saxon must take the necessary steps to ensure that this dreadful state of affairs is not allowed to continue.

The Descent of Man is peppered throughout with statements which portray negroes and "savage" races as degenerate, having more in common with animals than with Anglo-Saxon man. Here is how he describes one species of monkey:

"With some monkeys the beard is confined to the male, as in the orang; or is much larger in the male than in the female, as in the *Mycetes* caraya and *Pithecia satanas* (fig.68).




Fig. 68. Pithecia satanas, male

...The resemblance to a negro in miniature of *Pithecia satanas* with his jet-black skin, white rolling eyeballs and hair parted on the top of the head is almost ludicrous." [p.607 and 690]

I regret having to include this tasteless piece of Darwinian propaganda, but it perfectly illustrates the deep vein of racism which permeates British atheism. What is more, it shows that this racism is of the most extreme kind and is bound to result in outcomes injurious to other ethnic groups, including eugenic cleansing programs. Exactly the same brand of extreme racism underpins the Globalist view that only an elite band of white European intellectuals should rule the world and that inferior human stock should be exterminated.

These depraved ideas have long held sway in British Establishment circles, and have been taught – usually covertly – in the leading British universities such as Oxford, Cambridge and the London School of Economics. They were in turn transmitted to the most influential centers of learning in the United States, including Harvard, Princeton and Yale, as well as the principal universities in Germany such as Heidelberg, Freiburg and Leipzig. The Nazi eugenics program, which was funded and encouraged by the Globalists, grew <u>directly</u> from this school of thought.

The Globalists recognize that many white Europeans will resist the New World Order and its program of ethnic cleansing. H G Wells makes it quite clear that all such resistance must be dealt with in summary fashion and "malcontents" eliminated: "...when the struggle seems to be drifting definitely towards a world social democracy, there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. Countless people...will hate the new world order...and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people."

H G Wells, The New World Order (1939)

The 'Rich List' Scam

Even where one accepts that this Globalist philosophy exists, it is legitimate to ask whether the people concerned have both the means and the opportunity to put it into effect. Again it is only necessary to look at the pattern set in the 20th century and ask why so many undesirable outcomes, every one of which has been set in motion by a small group of influential people, just happen to advance the Globalist agenda – extremely costly and highly destructive wars funded from the same source; the progressive creation of international bodies to dilute the power of sovereign states; the rampant spread of atheistic doctrines in science, philosophy and religion; the massive expansion of communism; the use of fiat currencies to impoverish the middle classes of developed economies; the staggering increase in the power of private international corporations; the high level of surveillance in so-called free societies; the development of a disturbing array of weapons – biological, chemical, nuclear, and genetically engineered – to intimidate and destroy; and the highly sophisticated use of popular media for propaganda purposes?

That's all very well, you may argue, but all of this takes money, extraordinary amounts of money. What evidence is there that a small elite actually have the financial resources to execute such an elaborate plan? According to the *Forbes* rich list and similar lists published by other leading publications, the richest individuals in the world are worth no more than \$50 billion each, men like Bill Gates, Warren Buffett, Larry Ellison, Carlos Helu, Lakshmi Mittal, etc. But these lists are a total fraud. They omit the super rich, the small group of families in Europe and America whose wealth is simply prodigious.

The super rich in 1900 did not lose their wealth in the course of the 20th century. Perhaps a few stalled along the way, but most prospered to a dazzling degree. In his official biography of the Rothschild family, Frederic Morton reckoned that their total wealth was over \$6 billion in 1850 (*The Rothschilds: Portrait of a Dynasty*, 1962). Given that this was an authorised biography of a secretive family and that they would have no particular desire to exaggerate their wealth, this figure is probably on the conservative side. If we increase this wealth at an annual compound rate of between 5% and 6% – which is probably an underestimate, given their influence over the markets – then their wealth today is well in excess of €30 trillion, or twice America's annual GDP.

Yet how many members of the Rothschild family feature on the Forbes rich list, or on any other rich list for that matter? Where are the Rockefellers, the Mellons, the Astors, the Vanderbelts, the Carnegies, the Cecils, the Cargills, the Bronfmans, the Du Ponts, the Harrimans, the Phipps, the Gettys, the Guggenheims, the Dawes, the Biddles, and dozens more in the US, Britain, Germany, France, Switzerland, Italy and so forth? And what is the true wealth of the ancient royal families of Europe, whose combined stock and real estate holdings must run into the trillions?

Please consider these facts very carefully since they reveal a great deal about the true center of power in the world today. If we assume that the next ten families on the <u>real</u> rich list (*not* the one published by Forbes or Fortune Magazine) have been just as successful as the Rothschilds at expanding their own wealth over the last hundred years or so, then we could safely add another \notin 30 trillion to the total wealth enjoyed by the world's ruling elite.

The published lists of the world's richest people are designed to <u>conceal</u> the identities of the truly wealthy, the all-powerful elite. After all, if you have wealth of this magnitude, you don't want the world to know about it. Bill Gates is a shoeshine boy beside the Rothschild family. The truth is that an elite set of ultra-rich families rule the greatest nations on earth. Their political influence operates with impunity on a global scale and is directed toward one overall objective, namely the creation of a centralised World Government controlled on their behalf by a chosen cadre of front men and puppets.

This goal cannot be achieved without the large-scale reconfiguration of the United States, which in turn requires its subjugation by political and economic forces beyond its control. For the past hundred years the noose has been tightening, particularly since the creation of the Federal Reserve in 1913, a privately owned monopoly on the issuance of **all** US dollars.

Presidential Executive Orders

A key figure in the Globalist agenda is the President of the United States.

The powers of the US President – who is nothing more than a pawn in the hands of the ruling elite – have been steadily enhanced in a covert manner, to the point where, in a state of national emergency, he can rule with absolute dictatorial authority.

Look at the evidence. Under a range of Executive Orders which have been signed into law during the past sixty years or so, without any input from Congress, successive Presidents have authorised the transference of extraordinary powers to their executive office during a state of national emergency. Most Americans are unaware of these powers or their extent. Neither are they aware that it is the President himself who decides whether or not a state of national emergency ought to be declared. Thus, at the stroke of a pen, Congress can be suspended and sweeping executive powers assumed by the President. An attempt was made by a Senate Committee in 1973 to audit these powers and to raise awareness generally of their extent. The Foreword to that Committee's report, which was published on November 19, 1973, is given in **Appendix B** below. The joint Chairmen took the unusual step of issuing a statement on 30 September 1973, anticipating the ominous points made in their Foreword:

"The President has the power to seize property, organize and control the means of production, seize commodities, assign military forces abroad, call reserve forces amounting to 2½ million men to duty, institute martial law, seize and control all means of transportation, regulate all private enterprise, restrict travel, and in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all Americans... Most [of these laws] remain a potential source of virtually unlimited power for a President should he choose to activate them. It is possible that some future President could exercise this vast authority in an attempt to place the United States under authoritarian rule.

"While the danger of a dictatorship arising through legal means may seem remote to us today, recent history records Hitler seizing control through the use of the emergency powers provisions contained in the laws of the Weimar Republic."

Joint Statement, Senators Frank Church (D-ID) and Charles McC Mathias (R-MD) September 30, 1973

The Executive Orders listed in **Appendix C** below are just some of those made in the 1960s. They clearly confer extraordinary powers on the President and allow him, if he so wishes, to operate a dictatorship, just as Senators Church and Mathias had warned. Many other Executive Orders have been made since then which add greatly to the powers which the President may exercise during a state of national emergency. Please remember that these do not include the exceptional powers already conferred on the President under a long list of statutes, including subsidiary provisions in otherwise innocuous Acts.

For example, President Obama signed an Executive Order (No.13524) on December 16, 2009, regarding the privileges and immunities of Interpol on US soil. Interpol is a private international police organization with a very shady past. Former Interpol presidents included SS General Otto Steinhausl; Reinhard Heydrich, one of the senior Nazi officers most responsible for the Holocaust; SS General Arthur Nebe (under whose command at least 46,000 people were murdered); and SS General Ernest Kaltenbrunner who was condemned to execution at the Nuremberg Trials.

The definition of Interpol published by the US Department of Justice in 1988 ought to ring an alarm bell in every state across America:

Interpol conducts inter-governmental activities, but is not based on an international treaty, convention, or similar legal documents. It was founded upon a constitution drawn up and written by a group of police officers who did not submit it for diplomatic signatures, nor have they ever submitted it for ratification by governments. [Cited on p.185 of *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations* by John Coleman, 2006]

The changes made by President Obama in December 2009 extend to Interpol the same privileges and immunities under the International Organizations Immunities Act 1945 that apply to organizations which the US has approved, either through international treaty or a vote of Congress. Whatever restrictions applied to it under a previous Executive Order (No.12425), signed by President Reagan on June 16, 1983, were completely removed by Obama. This is a truly astonishing concession in that it allows a private international police organization to operate anywhere on American soil with virtually no federal supervision or constraints of any kind, except where the President decides to revoke its special status. Neither Congress nor the American people had a say in any of this. In short, Interpol enjoys the same immunity from every form of judicial process as is enjoyed by foreign governments. The big difference, of course, is that foreign governments are not authorized to operate covert intelligence gathering operations on American soil, but Interpol is.

The fact that there is **no** consolidated statement of the extensive powers that the President may exercise during a state of national emergency ought to be a matter of **great concern**, both to American citizens and to the world at large.

Conclusion

There is more than enough hard evidence in this paper to convince most sceptics that a co-ordinated international effort is being made to fatally weaken the United States and implement a centralised World Government. What is more, the evidence strongly suggests that this plan is well advanced and that the Ruling Elite have no qualms about murdering tens of millions of people in a third World War if it will expedite the creation of their totalitarian utopia.

In closing, let me remind you once again that the real political controllers in Washington were not placed there by the American people:

"Thank you for that wonderful tribute to Henry Kissinger yesterday. Congratulations. As the most recent National Security Advisor of the United States, <u>I take my daily orders from Dr. Kissinger</u>, filtered down through General Brent Scowcroft and Sandy Berger, who is also here. We have a chain of command in the National Security Council that exists today." [*Emphasis added*]

Remarks by the US National Security Adviser, James L Jones at the 45th Munich Conference on Security Policy, Hotel Bayerischer, February 8, 2009.

For further information visit: <u>www.zephaniah.eu</u>

APPENDIX A

CFR Members in the U.S. Government (excluding the Obama Administration)		
Presidents	Vice Presidents	Secretaries of State
Herbert Hoover Dwight D Eisenhower John F Kennedy Richard M Nixon Gerald R Ford James E Carter George H W Bush	Richard M. Nixon Hubert Humphrey Gerald R Ford Nelson A Rockefeller Walter Mondale George H W Bush Richard Cheney	Henry L Stimson Edward R Stettinius, Jr. Dean G Acheson John Foster Dulles Christian A Herter Dean Rusk William P Rogers Henry A Kissinger Cyrus R Vance Edmund S. Muskie Alexander M Haig, Jr. George P Shultz Lawrence Eagleburger Warren M Christopher Madeleine K Albright Colin L Powell Condoleezza Rice
Secretaries of Defence	Secretaries of the Treasury	CIA Director
Henry L Stimson Robert P Patterson James V Forrestal Robert A. Lovett Neil H McElroy Thomas S Gates, Jr. Robert S McNamara Melvin R Laird Elliot L Richardson Donald H Rumsfeld Harold Bown Caspar W Weinberger Frank C Carlucci Richard B. Cheney Les Aspin William Perry William S Cohen Donald Rumsfeld Robert M Gates	Andrew W Mellon Ogden L Mills William H Woodin Henry Morgenthau, Jr. Robert B Anderson C Douglas Dillon Henry H Fowler David M Kennedy George P Shultz W Michael Blumenthal G. William Miller Donald T Regan Nicholas F Brady Lloyd Bentsen Robert Rubin Lawrence H Summers Henry M Paulson, Jr.	Walter Bedell Smith Allen W Dulles John A McCone Richard Helms James R Schlesinger William E Colby George H W Bush Stansfield Turner William J Casey Robert M Gates R James Woolsey John M Deutch George J Tenet Michael V Hayden

APPENDIX B

Senate Report No. 93-549 93rd Congress 1st Session

EMERGENCY POWERS STATUES

REPORT of the SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THE TERMINATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY

NOVEMBER 19, 1973

FOREWORD

Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presidentially proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and August 15,1971.

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of allencompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.

With the melting of the cold war-the developing detente with the Soviet Union and China, the stable truce of over 20 years duration between North and South Korea, and the end of U.S. involvement in the war in Indochina-there is no present need for the United States Government to continue to function under emergency conditions.

The Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency was created to examine the consequences of terminating the declared states of national emergency that now prevail; to recommend what steps the Congress should take to ensure that the termination can be accomplished without adverse effect upon the necessary tasks of governing; and, also, to recommend ways in which the United States can meet future emergency situations with speed and effectiveness but without relinquishment of congressional oversight and control.

In accordance with this mandate, the Special Committee-in conjunction with the Executive branch, expert constitutional authorities, as well as former high officials of this Government is now engaged in a detailed study to determine the most reasonable ways to restore normalcy to the operations of our Government.

A first and necessary step was to bring together the body of statutes, which have been passed by Congress, conferring extraordinary powers upon the Executive branch in times of national emergency. This has been a most difficult task. Nowhere in the Government, in either the Executive or Legislative branches, did there exist a complete catalog of all emergency statutes. **Many were aware that there had been a delegation of an enormous amount of power but, of how much power, no one knew.** In order to correct this situation, the Special Committee staff was instructed to work with the Executive branch, the Library of Congress, and knowledgeable legal authorities to compile an authoritative list of delegated emergency powers.

This Special Committee study, which contains a list of all provisions of Federal law, except the most trivial, conferring extraordinary powers in time of national emergency, was compiled by the staff under the direction of Staff Director William G Miller, and Mr Thomas A Dine; utilizing the help of the General Accounting Office, the American Law Division of the Library of Congress, the Department of Justice, the Department of Defense, and the Office of Emergency Planning.

The Special Committee is grateful for the assistance provided by Jack Goldklang of the Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice; Lester S Jayson, the director of the Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress; Joseph E Ross, head of the American Law Division of CRS; and especially Raymond Celada of the American Law Division and his able assistants, Charles V Dale and Grover S Williams; Paul Armstrong of the General Accounting Office; Linda Lee, Patrick Norton, Roland Moore, William K. Sawyer, Audrey Hatry, Martha Mecham, and David J. Kyte.

The Special Committee will also publish a list of Executive Orders, issued pursuant to statutes brought into force by declared states of emergency, at a later date.

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr [Senator] Frank Church [Senator] Co-Chairmen

[Note: Emphasis added.]

Just some of the powers that may be assumed by the US President in a national emergency

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10995

in a national emergency allows the government to take control of national telecommunications.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10997

in a national emergency allows the government to take control of all electrical power, gas, petroleum, fuels and minerals.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10998

in a national emergency allows the government to take over all food resources and farms.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10999

in a national emergency allows the government to take control of all means of transportation, including personal cars, trucks or vehicles of any kind and total control over all highways, seaports, and waterways.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11000

in a national emergency allows the government to mobilize civilians into work brigades under government supervision.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11001

in a national emergency allows the government to take control of all health, education and welfare functions.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11002

in a national emergency designates the Postmaster General to operate a national registration of all persons.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11003

in a national emergency allows the government to take over all airports and aircraft, including commercial aircraft.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11004

in a national emergency allows the government to relocate communities, build new housing with public funds, designate areas to be abandoned, and establish new locations for populations.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11005

in a national emergency allows the government to take control of railroads, inland waterways and public storage facilities.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11051

assigns to the Office of Emergency Planning a range of responsibilities to provide a central point of leadership and co-ordination in the executive office of the President (including implementation of emergency powers assigned to the President under various executive orders)

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11310

grants authority to the Department of Justice to enforce the plans set out in Executive Orders, to institute industrial support, to establish judicial and legislative liaison, to control all aliens, to operate penal and correctional institutions, and to advise and assist the President.

An archive of Presidential Executive Orders may be found at: http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/executive_orders.php